Exhibit 35



4	FOR THE DISTRICT COURT
2	Civil Action No. C.A. No. 04-1494 (JJF)
3	
4	MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and LAW DEBENTURE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	v.
7	NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	Civil Action No. C.A. No. 05-499 (JJF)
11	MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT CORP.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	V.
14	MICHAEL J. HANSON and ERNIE J. KINDT,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	DEPOSITION OF
18	ERNIE KINDT
19	~
20	
21	
22	
23	
2.4	
:5	TAKEN ON: 6/28/2007 BV. DANA AND TROOM
	BY: DANA ANDERSON

- 1 A. I don't think that this resolution names me as
- 2 an executive officer.
- 3 Q. If you see in the paragraph that says "Be it
- 4 resolved..." I'm skipping some lines, but it
- 5 says "The following named persons are hereby
- 6 elected as the executive officers of NWE for
- 7 the positions indicated."
- 8 A. Okay. I don't believe that this changed
- 9 anything as far as my management
- 10 responsibilities.
- 11 Q. Did your management responsibilities as vice
- 12 president of accounting ever change from the
- time that NorthWestern acquired Montana Power
- until the time you left the company?
- 15 A. I had changes of duties as certain people
- 16 elected to take the change of control. But
- other than that, no.
- 18 Q. Would that have been an increase in duties?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And what additional duties did you take on?
- 21 A. Ellen Senechal, who was the treasurer at
- 22 Montana Power LLC became -- came across with
- the Montana Power officers. When she left, I
- 24 was made the contact, and I was responsible for
- 25 making sure that the requirements of filings

- with the certain debt holders were completed on
- 2 time.
- 3 Q. When you say the certain debt holders, does
- 4 that include the QUIPS?
- 5 A. Yes, I believe it does.
- 6 Q. And when did you become responsible for contact
- 7 and filings relating to the QUIPS?
- 8 A. When Ellen left, and I think that that was in
- 9 August or September of 2002.
- 10 Q. And what specifically were your
- responsibilities in connection with the QUIPS?
- 12 A. There were certain items that had to be filed
- annually, I think an officer's certificate.
- There was a book that had listed the items that
- had to be filed. I don't remember them off the
- top of my head.
- 17 Q. Did you sign the officer's certificates?
- 18 A. Yes, I believe I did.
- 19 Q. When you signed the officer's certificate, what
- 20 did you --
- 21 A. Wait a minute, maybe I -- I honestly don't
- remember if I did or didn't.
- 23 Q. But you would have been -- whether you signed
- them or not, you would have been the one that
- 25 put the package together and delivered the --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What, if anything, did you do to ensure that
- 3 the information in the book was accurate?
- 4 A. The information in the book?
- 5 Q. I thought you said that you would put together
- 6 a book that you would --
- 7 A. It wasn't that big. It was one or two pages.
- And I think it listed the officers. It wasn't
- 9 anything that complicated.
- 10 Q. Did you do anything to ensure that the
- 12 A. That it listed the correct officers.
- 13 Q. Is that the only thing that the officer's
- 14 certificate did?
- 15 A. I think so.
- 16 Q. Now, in November of 2002 the assets of
- NorthWestern Energy LLC were transferred to
- NorthWestern, correct?
- MR. KALECZYC: Objection.
- 20 THE WITNESS: The assets and
- 21 liabilities of NorthWestern Energy LLC with
- the exception of certain assets that were
- not utility in nature were merged into
- NorthWestern.
- 25 BY MR. KAPLAN:

- Q. Let's take this a part a little bit. What was your involvement in that transaction?
- A. From an accounting standpoint there, wasn't a whole lot of activity that was required on the
- 5 books. Basically we kept -- Montana operations
- 6 were already separate from everything else.
- And so if you remember, I talked about the fact
- 8 that we were operated as an electric and gas
- 9 utility, but we kept everything for Nebraska
- 10 and South Dakota and Montana in separate
- 11 company codes on the accounting records.
- And so since the Montana stuff was in
- a separate company code and we're still going
- to report them, for Montana purposes, on a
- standalone basis, it was determined that the
- easiest way to account for the going-flat
- 17 structure was to move the assets that were
- staying in the Montana LLC. And I think it was
- subsequently renamed to the Clark Fork LLC and
- set up a separate company code for those
- 21 transactions.
- Other than that, you still had equity
- and earnings and that. So there was some title
- changes on accounts, but it wasn't any massive
- endeavor.

- 1 Q. How about with respect to the NorthWestern
- Energy LLC debt, what was your -- what, if any,
- 3 responsibilities did you have in connection
- 4 with the going-flat transaction?
- 5 A. As far as --
- 6 MR. KALECZYC: Objection.
- 7 THE WITNESS: As far as the debt, I
- 8 think I may have transmitted some
- paperwork, but that would be the full
- 10 extent of...
- 11 (Deposition Exhibit Number 2 marked
- for identification.)
- 13 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 14 Q. We'll mark as Kindt Exhibit 2 a document Bates
- stamped NOR 000909 through NOR 000911.
- 16 A. (Reviews document.)
- 17 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Can you identify what it is?
- 20 A. This is a transmittal letter that transmitted
- 21 certain data that was -- certain documents that
- were needed to complete the transaction.
- 23 Q. You see on the second page of the document
- there is a list of four items?
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. Six items, I'm sorry.
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. Prior to submitting or transmitting this letter
- 4 did you review those six items?
- 5 A. I don't remember if I reviewed them or not.
- 6 Q. You don't recall whether you -- strike that.
- 7 Would you typically have reviewed
- 8 items such as this when sending them on to the
- 9 trustee?
- 10 A. These were documents that were drafted by
- 11 basically the lawyers that were responsible for
- 12 completing the transaction. They are legal
- documents. My responsibility was more
- administerial to assure that they were
- 15 transmitted.
- 16 Q. Presumably the lawyers could have transmitted
- it by themselves?
- 18 A. I believe I was the contact with the bank.
- 19 Q. The officer's certificate wasn't a legal
- 20 document, was it?
- MR. KALECZYC: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: That I don't know.
- 23 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 24 Q. Pardon?
- 25 A. I don't know if that's considered a legal

- discussed it with, Mike Manning.
- 2 Q. Do you have any recollection of actually
- 3 discussing them?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you recall having any discussions with
- anyone at NorthWestern, the parent corporation,
- 7 with respect to any of these six documents?
- 8 A. No, I don't.
- 9 Q. Do you remember ever taking any efforts to
- 10 ensure that any of these documents were true
- 11 and correct?
- 12 A. Like I indicated, these are documents that were
- not financial in nature. And so there really
- wasn't anything for me to review on these.
- 15 Q. In the course of your responsibilities, have
- 16 you ever reviewed indentures?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Even when you took over for Ms. Senechal you
- 19 didn't look at the indentures?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Do you recall ever having conversations with
- anyone from The Bank of New York with respect
- to any of these six documents?
- 24 A. No, I don't.
- 25 Q. Do you recall ever having any discussions with

- 1 The Bank of New York in connection with your
- 2 responsibilities for the QUIPS?
- 3 A. The only contact that I can remember having
- 4 with The Bank of New York would be related to
- 5 interest payments and to the annual filing. I
- 6 think there may have been a call to make sure
- 7 that everything was filed on time.
- 8 Q. Were you involved in the decision to transfer
- 9 the assets from NorthWestern Energy LLC to
- NorthWestern?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Do you recall the first discussions that you
- had with anyone at NorthWestern Energy LLC or
- NorthWestern with respect to the transfer of
- 15 the assets?
- 16 A. I don't know if I can remember the specific
- 17 date that we discussed it. But Dave Monaghan
- had kept people that reported to him aware that
- they had requested an exemption from the Public
- 20 Utility Holding Company Act. When that
- 21 exemption was not received or was denied, we
- were informed that the going-flat structure
- would have to be undertaken. And so then there
- was discussion as to what was the simplest way
- accounting-wise to accomplish that.

- 1 Q. In connection with the going-flat transaction,
- 2 did you review NorthWestern's -- when I say
- NorthWestern, I mean the parent company's
- 4 financials?
- 5 A. As part of the going-flat structure, no, I did
- 6 not.
- 7 Q. Did you do any diligence to see whether
- NorthWestern Corporation would be able to
- 9 satisfy obligations to the QUIPS holders?
- 10 A. NorthWestern owned the assets subject to the
- liabilities that were outstanding. They were
- assuming those liabilities. To the best of my
- knowledge, they had the financial wherewithal
- 14 to continue to service the debt.
- 15 Q. What was that knowledge based on?
- 16 A. The cash flow was there. They were managing
- the cash flow on a corporate basis at the time.
- Whenever the utility needed cash, it was
- 19 available.
- 20 Q. Did you review projections to see whether the
- 21 cash flow would still be there?
- 22 A. No, I did not.
- MS. DELANEY: Objection to form.
- 24 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 25 Q. Did you -- did you review the financial

- 1 statements of the other NorthWestern divisions
- or entities to see how they were performing?
- 3 A. No, I did not.
- 4 Q. Did you discuss with anyone at NorthWestern
- 5 whether they would have the financial
- 6 wherewithal to honor their obligations under
- 7 the QUIPS?
- 8 A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. Did you review NorthWestern's public financial
- statements, its SEC filings in connection with
- the going-flat transaction?
- 12 A. Not specifically in conjunction with the
- going-flat.
- 14 Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Hanson
- with respect to whether NorthWestern would be
- able to satisfy its obligations under the
- 17 QUIPS?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. Did you have any concerns with respect to
- whether NorthWestern would be able to satisfy
- 21 its obligations under the QUIPS?
- 22 A. No, I did not.
- 23 Q. Did you have any views as to the value of the
- 24 assets that were being transferred to
- NorthWestern?

- 1 MR. KALECZYC: Objection.
- MS. DELANEY: Objection, foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Like I previously
- 4 indicated, my understanding was that
- NorthWestern owned the LLC and effectively
- 6 owned the net assets and that all they were
- doing was converting their ownership
- 8 interest into ownership of the actual
- g assets and that there was no actual sale.
- 10 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 11 Q. You were an executive officer of NorthWestern
- Energy LLC prior to November 15, 2002, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. You weren't an officer of
- NorthWestern Corporation prior to that point,
- were you?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And as -- and you took direction from Mike
- 19 Hanson, correct?
- MR. KALECZYC: Objection, vague.
- 21 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 22 Q. You reported --
- 23 A. I took direction through Dave Monaghan who
- 24 reported to Mike Hanson.
- Q. And Mike Hanson was the CEO of NorthWestern

- 1 Q. Was -- following the going-flat transaction,
- NorthWestern Energy LLC's name changed,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And it became known as Clark Fork and
- 6 Blackfoot?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Based on your understanding, following the
- going-flat transaction, did Clark Fork have
- 10 sufficient cash flow to keep paying its
- 11 obligations?
- 12 A. The guarantee from NorthWestern provided the
- cash flow for Clark Fork to pay its
- 14 obligations.
- 15 Q. Absent the guarantee, would it have had
- sufficient cash flow to satisfy its
- 17 obligations?
- 18 A. Absent the guarantee, no.
- 19 Q. Did that concern you at the time that you
- 20 were -- at the time that the transfer was
- 21 occurring did that concern you?
- 22 A. The guarantee was there. And so there was no
- 23 need for concern.
- 24 Q. Did you do any analysis as to how much the
- environmental liability could ultimately be?

- 1 Q. If you knew that NorthWestern wouldn't be able
- 2 to satisfy its obligations, would you have
- 3 objected to the transfer?
- 4 MR. KALECZYC: Objection.
- MS. DELANEY: Objection, calls for
- 6 speculation.
- 7 THE WITNESS: If I believed that
- 8 NorthWestern wouldn't be able to meet its
- obligations, I honestly don't know what my
- 10 response would have been.
- 11 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 12 Q. Did you ever discuss with anybody at
- 13 NorthWestern Energy LLC or
- 14 NorthWestern Corporation retaining a
- third-party to evaluate the fairness of the
- 16 going-flat transaction?
- 17 A. As I previously stated, my belief was that
- NorthWestern was the owner of the assets and
- 19 that all they were doing was changing the form
- of that ownership from ownership of the LLC to
- 21 direct ownership of the net assets.
- 22 Q. But going specifically to my question, did you
- ever discuss with anyone at NorthWestern Energy
- LLC or NorthWestern Corporation having a third
- 25 party evaluate the fairness of the transaction?

- 1 A. The Montana utility operations were typically
- 2 cash-flow negative starting in May and running
- 3 through November.
- 4 Q. I think there is a binder, it's the MFIR
- 5 binder, and I would like you to turn to the tab
- 6 that says May 2002.
- 7 A. (Complies.)
- 8 Q. And it's a document that's Bates stamped NOR
- 9 458099 through NOR 458119.
- Are you familiar with this document?
- MR. KALECZYC: Take your time to
- 12 review it before you answer.
- THE WITNESS: (Reviews document.) No.
- This is not a document that I am familiar
- with.
- 16 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 17 Q. Did you ever see these management financial and
- information reports for any month?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. If you turn to page 2 of 15.
- MS. DELANEY: What page?
- MR. KAPLAN: Says 2 of 15, which is
- NOR 458102.
- 24 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 25 Q. You see on the top there is a chart of an

- discussions.
- 2 Q. And what was the nature of the discussion?
- 3 A. There were discussions that -- and disclosures
- 4 in the annual report that indicated that there
- 5 was a liquidity challenge, that certain events
- 6 needed to go the company's way to resolve some
- 7 short-term cash-flow issues.
- 8 Q. When was the first time that you remember
- 9 hearing that there were liquidity or cash-flow
- issues at NorthWestern?
- 11 A. Would have been in the spring, late winter,
- early spring of 2003.
- 13 Q. Did you ever hear that in 2002?
- 14 A. Not that I recall.
- 15 Q. Based on your job responsibilities, to the
- extent that NorthWestern was considering
- sale-leaseback transactions for the utility
- assets, would you have been involved?
- MR. KALECZYC: Objection.
- MS. DELANEY: What was the timeframe,
- 21 Gary?
- MR. KAPLAN: At any time after
- NorthWestern acquired Montana Power.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I do not recall any
- 25 discussions of sale-leaseback transactions

- 1 Q. I can clarify the question for you.
- 2 Solely with respect to funded debt, by
- 3 that, I mean bonds, QUIPS or bank loans, you
- 4 testified earlier that when Ms. Senechal left,
- 5 you took over her responsibility to administer
- 6 that, or if there is a better word, but to --
- 7 A. To provide the annual filings.
- 8 Q. To provide the annual filings for that debt.
- 9 Was there any NorthWestern Energy
- funded debt for which you weren't responsible
- 11 for providing the filings?
- 12 A. Not that I can recall.
- 13 Q. Were you the regular -- were you the person at
- NorthWestern Energy who would be -- who would
- generally interface with the trustee or the
- agent for the various issuances of debt?
- 17 A. I would not have been the person who negotiated
- the issuance of new debt or the retirement of
- old debt. That would have been someone else.
- 20 Q. But debt that was existing and just in the
- 21 ordinary course filing and submissions, would
- you have been the person who would have been
- 23 most likely to interface with the agent or the
- 24 trustee?
- MS. DELANEY: At what time?
 - Elisa Dreier Reporting Corp. (212) 557-5558 780 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

- 1 MR. KAPLAN: After Ms. Senechal left
- 2 until -- while it was NorthWestern LLC.
- THE WITNESS: I can be -- I can
- 4 honestly state that I had very little
- 5 contact with Ms. Lewicki. And I can't
- fee all more than one or two phone calls.
- 7 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 8 Q. Are you aware of whether anybody else at
- 9 NorthWestern Energy was having the same or more
- 10 communication with Ms. Lewicki?
- MR. KALECZYC: Objection.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I have no way of knowing
- if anyone else had contact with
- 14 Ms. Lewicki.
- 15 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 16 Q. Did Ms. Lewicki or anyone else from Bank of New
- 17 York ever ask you about the value of the
- 18 Milltown Dam or whether there were other
- alternatives to dispose of the Milltown Dam?
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 21 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 22 Q. Did Mike Hanson ever talk to you about the
- value of the Milltown Dam or other alternatives
- 24 to dispose of the Dam?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. In your role as VP for accounting, did you ever
- 2 review any of the financials for Expanets?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. In the time frame -- during 2002, what do you
- 5 recall being told about Expanets' performance?
- 6 A. There was one management meeting shortly after
- NorthWestern bought Montana Power's assets that
- 8 all of the vice presidents from Montana went
- 9 to. There was a presentation at that
- 10 conference where the presidents of the various
- 11 divisions of the company spent ten or
- 12 15 minutes updating people on their progress.
- Expanets at that point in time was confident
- that they would meet their annual budgeted
- target which they indicated was an aggressive
- 16 target.
- 17 Q. Was that the only thing you heard about
- Expanets during 2002?
- 19 A. Other than that, on a monthly basis we would
- see the -- on the utility financials, there
- 21 would be a one-line comparison of their net
- income compared to budget for that month and
- year-to-date.
- 24 Q. Why would that show on the utility financial
- 25 statement?

1 to clear up some things.

2

3 EXAMINATION

4

- 5 BY MR. KALECZYC:
- 6 Q. Do you remember telling Mr. Kaplan, Ernie, that
- you were the normal contact for The Bank of New
- 8 York trustee with respect to QUIPS?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. During the period of time between the
- 11 acquisition of Montana Power LLC and the
- going-flat transaction, were you ever contacted
- by The Bank of New York and told that QUIPS
- 14 payments had not -- had failed to be made when
- 15 due?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. During that same time period between the
- acquisition and the going-flat transaction,
- were you ever contacted by the trustee and told
- there was an event of default?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Now, between the time that the going-flat
- transaction was completed in November 2002 and
- June of 2003 when you testified you left the
- company, were you ever told by The Bank of New

- 1 Q. Do you remember you testified no?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Why didn't you in November, approximately
- 4 November 2002 before the going-flat transaction
- 5 was completed, request a fairness opinion?
- 6 MR. KAPLAN: Objection, asked and
- 7 answered.
- 8 THE WITNESS: My understanding was
- 9 that NorthWestern owned the assets or owned
- the LLC which owned the assets and that the
- transaction was really just a change in the
- form of that ownership of the assets. They
- still were responsible for the liabilities
- 14 that attached to the assets. But it was
- strictly a change in the form of ownership.
- 16 BY MR. KALECZYC:
- 17 Q. Did you have any concern in your own mind in
- November 2002 that after the going-flat
- 19 transaction was completed, that NorthWestern
- 20 would not be able to continue to make QUIPS
- 21 payments?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Why not?
- 24 A. The balance sheet of NorthWestern was strong.
- 25 They were a very large company. The company

- l had just recently issued some debt and did not
- 2 have a difficult time issuing that debt.
- 3 Q. And when you say "balance sheet," do you mean
- 4 the consolidated balance sheet of
- 5 NorthWestern Corporation?
- 6 A. The consolidated balance sheet.
- 7 MR. KALECZYC: I have no other
- questions. I don't know if you have any,
- 9 Nancy.
- MS. DELANEY: I have no questions.
- MR. KAPLAN: I have a couple of
- 12 follow-up on those questions.

13

14 FURTHER EXAMINATION

15

- 16 BY MR. KAPLAN:
- 17 Q. You just testified that when NorthWestern
- issued debt it had no difficulty issuing the
- 19 debt. Do you recall saying that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What to you make that statement based on?
- 22 A. I worked on the preparation of the financials
- that were used to support -- to get the debt.
- And from everything that I had seen in my
- personal involvement, there wasn't a difficulty